

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LEGAL RESEARCH AND STUDIES**  
**A PEER REVIEWED REFEREED ONLINE LAW JOURNAL**

**AUTHOR/REVIEWER POLICY**

Authorship confers credit and has important academic, social, and financial implications. Authorship also implies responsibility and accountability for published work. The following recommendations are intended to ensure that contributors who have made substantive intellectual contributions to a paper are given credit as authors, but also that contributors credited as authors understand their role in taking responsibility and being accountable for what is published. Such policies remove much of the ambiguity surrounding contributions, but leave unresolved the question of the quantity and quality of contribution that qualify an individual for authorship. The IJLRS has thus developed criteria for authorship that can be seen at “Guidelines” section of IJLRS ([www.ijlrs.com](http://www.ijlrs.com)).

In addition to being accountable for the parts of the work he or she has done, an author should be able to identify which co-authors are responsible for specific other parts of the work. In addition, authors should have confidence in the integrity of the contributions of their co-authors.

Articles are considered provided they have not been published previously or concurrently submitted for publication elsewhere. What constitutes prior publication must take into account many criteria, including the extent of review, and will be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Authorship must be limited to those who have contributed substantially to the work. The corresponding author must share some degree of responsibility for any paper they coauthor. Some coauthors have responsibility for the entire paper as an accurate, verifiable report of the research. These include coauthors that are accountable for the integrity of the data reported in the paper, carry out the analysis, write the manuscript, present major findings at conferences, or provide scientific leadership to junior colleagues. Coauthors that make specific, limited contributions to a paper are responsible for their contributions but may have only limited responsibility for other results. While not all coauthors may be familiar with all aspects of the research presented in their paper, all collaborators should have in place an appropriate process for reviewing the accuracy of the reported results.

Peer review is fundamental to the scientific publication process and the dissemination of knowledge and information. Peer reviewers should be experts in the specific topic addressed in the articles they review, and should be selected for their objectivity and scientific knowledge. All reviewers should be informed of the publication’s expectations. Reviews will be expected to be professional, honest, courteous, prompt, and constructive. The editors may routinely assess all reviews for quality; they may also edit reviews before sending them to authors. The submitted

manuscript is a privileged communication; reviewers must treat it as confidential. It should not be retained or copied. Also, reviewers must not share the manuscript with any colleagues without the explicit permission of the editor. The IJLRS has thus developed criteria for reviewer ship that can be seen at “Guidelines” section of IJLRS ([www.ijlrs.com](http://www.ijlrs.com)).